

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/049,865	03/27/1998	COLLIN J. WEBER	47765/C/JPW/	6162
75	90 06/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Cooper & Dunham, LLP			DAVIS, MINH TAM B	
1185 Avenue of New York, NY			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1642	
•				

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/049,865	WEBER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MINH-TAM DAVIS	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of excunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th B7 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See attached</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41	.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>54-59, 62-70, in view that the amendm</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ent is not and will not be entered.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See attached.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The amendment of 02/18/05 is not and will not be entered, because the amended language "wherein the cell surrounded by the semipermeable membrane and the CTLA4 or CTLA4Ig have a synergistic effect" requires new 112, second paragraph, and 112, first paragraph, new matter. That is it is not clear how a cell has a synergistic effect with CTLA4 or CTLA4Ig. Does Applicant mean that "microencapsulation of the transplanted cell or tissue" has synergistic effect with CTLA4 or CTLA4Ig?

The following are the remaining rejections.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 112, FIRST PARAGRAPH, SCOPE

Rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph of claims 54-59, 62-70 pertaining to lack of enablement for a method for transplanting into a subject, using a "proteinaceous construct" remains for reasons already of record in paper of 12/16/04.

Rejection remains, because the amendment to obviate this rejection is not entered.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 103

Claims 54-59, 62-70 remain rejected under 35 USC 103, pertaining to obviousness over Lenschow et al, in view of Goosen et al, Soon-Shiong et al, Akalin et

al, Linsley et al, Padrid et al, and Steurer et al for reasons already of record in paper of 12/16/04.

Rejection remains, because the amendment to obviate this rejection is not entered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-TAM DAVIS whose telephone number is 571-272-0830. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JEFFREY SIEW can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MINH TAM DAVIS

Primary Patient Examiner Susan Ungar Application/Control Number: 09/049,865

Art Unit: 1642

June 15, 2005

Page 4